

**MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE GRANTSVILLE CITY
COUNCIL HELD WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 17, 2001:**

Mayor and Council Present: Mayor Merle Cole. Council Members: Byron Anderson, Craig Anderson, Kevin Hall, Justin Linares and Karen Watson (7:25 p.m.)

Appointed officers and employees present: Wendy Palmer, City Recorder, Attorney Ronald Elton, Planning Commission Chairman Dianne Hunsaker, Zoning Administrator Shauna Kertamus, Librarian Janice Higley, Treasurer Susan Gustin.

Citizens and Guests: Mary Ruth Hammond (press), Darrin Ozwald (press photographer), Morley Cook, Barbara Grygierczyk, Janice Higley, Maureen Palmer, Carole Palmer, Janine Elsholz, Dustin & Josh Hall, Sheryl Webster, Marge Anderson, Gary Christley, Jeff and Mike Didericksen, Gerald Webster, Annette Anderson, Jerry Edwards, Matt Cook, Paul Rupp, Teri Linares, Roberta Rupp, Shauna Bleazard, Camille Castagno, Frank Elsholz.

Meeting was called to order at 7:05 p.m.

STATE OF THE CITY ADDRESS: Merle E. Cole, Mayor addressed the community with the State of the City Address beginning by reflecting on an experience he had at the Utah State Governors' inauguration. The program included the 23 Army band playing and the Tabernacle Choir sang together the patriotic songs. Reminds me of the responsibility as an elected official to do the best job I can do to represent the people of Grantsville and makes me very proud to live in this county and this community. You need some of these things to pump you up. I had another opportunity to attend the Tooele County Chamber of Commerce installation banquet, Amy Palmer our local Olympic athlete was honored, and this reminded what a community can do. Our community got behind Amy to raise the money to send her to Sydney Australia to represent our community and country in the Summer Olympic Games. It really makes you appreciate what you have and what you are surrounded with.

Our City is doing well. We are catching up to the effects of growth. Building permits have dropped from 122 home permits in 1999 to 85 home permits in 2000. This amounts to a 24% decrease in home permits. As I drive from my home to City Hall, I see 5 homes for sale and two trailer houses. I think we have positioned ourselves well to address the challenges of growth and plan for the city's needs as the growth does come along. I think as the best scenario for the discussion of growth was stated at a conference on "How to pick the public's pocket" the Mayor of Farmington stated that for every 250 home subdivision that is approved I must consider hiring an additional Police Officer by the time the 250 homes are built. Some of the challenges we face are the finances. The city provides more services than just police protection but the 250 home subdivision will just about pay for one police officer. I think as I look at growth I hope that Grantsville can receive some of the commercial benefit. We have not seen much benefit from growth yet. I don't think that we will see much commercial growth.

As we look at the finances of the City we are in pretty good shape. We have debts amounting to \$4.5 million dollars and we have the system in place to handle the payments when they come due and we are current on our payments. We have \$2 million in cash assets invested at 6.7%, which nets us about \$100,000 each year. We bit the bullet and raised the taxes for the utilities and this has given us the opportunity to manage the cash flow for the city. This is the first year we haven't needed the property tax money to pay the bills when the county sends it in. We now take that property tax and invest it and then are able to spend it throughout the year like we should. We can plan ahead and make improvements. We will be meeting to review the city's capital facilities plan for the next budget year. One thing that has happened is that we have a new radio system for the fire and police departments. We budgeted to spend about \$60,000 this year to purchase this equipment and CCEP stepped up to the plate and purchased the equipment from their emergency management funds. We will have to pay the monthly access dues each month that will be about \$450.00 plus \$20,000 plus for dispatch services. Relative to public safety and we seem to get a lot of attention for guns in the school. Police department is very active just the number of calls for service in 1998 4588, 1999 – 5145,

2000- 4154 maybe this is leveling off as well. Relative to that the police department issued 1658 citations, 36 DUI, 22 search warrants I believe that number is more than the rest of county. 18 of 22 were for drugs, couple for stolen property and a couple for high-risk arrest. There have been 44 drug arrests in our quiet little community, 20 arrest for sex abuse and rape, 60 assault arrests, and 30-burglary arrests. I think you can see that our police department keeps pretty busy. When we talk about the search warrants there is a lot of time and effort to acquire them. We think we have corrected a problem and find that the problem still goes on. The other morning a Police Officer arrested someone for going 72 miles an hours on an early foggy morning on east Durfee Street. Then just a few days later the Police caught another going 60 mile an hour in the same area. The police don't ever have a chance to fix things, they have to keep working on it and I think that they do a good job.

The Judicial System is working well. We seem to be able to handle things there. We were unhappy with the County Attorney's Office in the way that they handle Class A misdemeanors. We were getting them back with no action but they were so old we couldn't do anything about them. It seemed like wasted efforts to make the arrest or get the search warrant to write a Class A misdemeanor. Therefore, we wrote a letter to the County Attorney's Office to explain we did not really appreciate the way you are doing your job. The County Attorney then wrote us back telling Grantsville that if you don't like the way we do our job then Grantsville City can do it themselves. This is significant because Grantsville City would have to pay the prosecuting attorney and the public defense, which is a significant amount of money. We are looking at that pretty seriously.

Fire Department is a pretty visible group, they have 40 some odd officers that are certified as firefighter one. They have an excellent fire prevention program. If you ever get a chance to be around the grade school and you want to see a system that works you ought to go see the fire prevention program with the elementary children. The Firemen really do a great job there. I really believe it has a positive effect on the city. I can't really measure that but children being aware and conscience of fire prevention we can prevent fires like what just happened in North Salt Lake City not long ago. I think they do a good job. They are prepared and trained, and we are trying to provide them with the facilities they need to help them do their job.

I think we have been pretty responsive to the peoples needs. I got a call a few years ago from a gentleman in the Senior Citizen center who was dang mad that he couldn't drive his electric wheelchair downtown. The sidewalks were so bad. We found that the city could use Class "C" road money for sidewalk repairs along state highways. So in the last couple of years we have replaced the sidewalk on north and south side of Main Street from Center Street to Bowery. We still have a little more work to do on each end of town. We have made the intersections more wheelchair friendly by replacing the dropped off curb to a ramped access. This is a response to a specific citizen request. We have also been working on the ISO insurance fire rating for Grantsville City. Tooele City has a 4 rating and Grantsville City has a 6. These numbers represent about one hundred dollars of savings on your fire insurance bill on your home. We have changed our hydrant inspection system. We have looped as many lines as we can. Hopefully, we can get this agency out here to perform the inspection needed to improve our fire rating.

Over the past few years we have worked hard on improving our financial audit. The audit has been very costly to us and very late in the audit didn't mean anything to us anyway except to the State. Our audit cost will be about half of what it has been in the past. We have hired an accountant to perform the work prior to the auditors coming. We now have current data to look at to help us run the affairs of the city. We have had a quarterly review on the budget and the numbers meant something to us.

I have met with Utah Department of Transportation concerning the by-pass road from SR112 to Burmester. This project did not encourage us a great deal. This by-pass road will probably be a project the city will have to get involved with and hopefully the state and county will pitch in. We have some traffic problems that this road will help alleviate in the future.

We hope to further improve the budgeting system. We have been over budgeting and under accounting what we do. We give the State too much material to audit. We need to refine the accounting system so that we can have it by an early date with better data that will mean something to us.

What the state does and what our needs are complicate the water issue. We are continuing to acquire water rights for future growth. The issue of water rights and the issue of graduated water rates. The state mandates a conservancy program and it is proven that if people have to pay more they will use less water. Secondary water is a major player in how we manage the city culinary system.

Mayor discussed the area of town around the City Hall. The new credit union building is an asset and the ambulance station is nice but the ugly blue sign is out of place. Mayor would like to replace the marquee sign with a new electronic sign, but the problem is the new sign costs \$40,000. That is a goal I have got is to enhance our community image when people come in and they can see a nice sign. We can use the new sign to increase the community spirit that we have here.

13 members represented Youth City Council. There was a video today at the Legislative day could have been filmed right here today. The issues in this video expressed were that the State gets more money and the County gets more money and the city gets less. I would like to present this video to our community when it becomes available.

I think that growth is a challenge and I think we are doing a good job. I think that the employees and the staff and the elected officials do a good job. This council represents you well. They don't just sit here like a bump on a log. You may not agree with what they do but they are out there doing research and finding out about the issues before making their decisions. I think you can be pleased with the efforts of your city council. I appreciate the efforts of everybody in the community. I feel good about the direction that we are going.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETINGS:

January 3, 2001: Karen made the motion to approve the minutes of January 3, 2001 as amended. Kevin seconded motion. All voted in favor, motion carried.

January 12, 2001: Justin made the motion to approve the minutes of the work meeting held January 12, 2001 as amended. Kevin seconded the motion. All voted in favor, motion carried.

GRANTSVILLE FIRE DEPARTMENT: Postponed.

CONSIDERATION OF CITY LIBRARIAN CHANGE OF STATUS-BARBARA GRYGIERCZYK: Barbara Grygierczyk was present representing the Tooele County Bookmobile. Barbara stated that the County Commissioners wanted to know if Grantsville City would continue to carry Janice Higley on contract another year. Barbara stated that the librarian at Stansbury Park has been hired as a County Employee with benefits. Karen made the motion seconded by Craig that Grantsville City wants the County to make Janice Higley a County Bookmobile Technician for the depository headquarters at City Hall. All voted in favor, motion carried. Karen stated that Grantsville City is willing to continue to provide the space for the library to remain in Grantsville City.

CONSIDERATION OF SALARY INCREASE: Mayor Cole read the letter of recommendation from Chief Johnson recommending a salary step increase for Officer David Aagard. Karen made the motion to approve Officer's David Aagard salary step increase to Grade 13 step 2. Seconded by Kevin. All voted in favor, motion carried.

CONSIDERATION OF NEW BUSINESS LICENSE: Craig made the motion to approve Harvey Ray Haynes business license for trucking business and mobile truck repair at 253 N. Hale Street. This business has received approval for a conditional use permit from the Planning Commission. Seconded by Kevin. All voted in favor, motion carried.

CONSIDERATION OF STATUS OF OPINION POLL FOR GENERAL PLAN:

Mayor informed those present that at the last work meeting a motion was made by Byron to reject the information packets for proceeding with the opinion poll. Kevin read the minutes of the January 3, 2001 meeting as it relates to the motion and votes to pursue the opinion poll ballot. All were in favor at this meeting except for Craig. Karen asked if she could defend her position.

Karen made the motion to eliminate the idea of an opinion poll, seconded by Byron. Mayor asked for any further discussion? Byron stated that Amy Nakarado who is over the state elections was contacted again today and stated that there is not law against any opinion poll but there is a law to put an opinion poll on an official government ballot. Attorney Elton and Justin stated that we were not doing that. An opinion poll is not illegal and what the city was doing was in compliance with that. Byron stated that any ballot that a government agency sends out would be an official government ballot per his conversation with Ms. Nakarado. Attorney Elton disagreed with Byron. Justin stated that an official ballot would be a ballot that would be conducted at the time of elections. Attorney Elton stated that his opinion is an opinion poll complies with the State Laws. Grantsville City conducts opinion polls all of the time with your CDBG Grants. Craig stated that he stated that is very ill advised to use an opinion poll to determine zoning issues. Justin asked Craig who advises him of that. Craig stated that it is David Church the Attorney for the Utah League of Cities and Towns. Karen stated with the Mayors permission it is my opinion that we are looking mighty silly. One minute we agree with a poll and then the next minute we are changing our mind. And it is looking as if we really don't know what we are doing. I see some head shaking agreeing that we are looking stupid. It is unfortunate that came to that point. Karen stated that in a vote before the Council and Mayor there was a 3 to 2 vote in favor of the Planning Commission's land use map. We had a couple of council people adamantly opposed. We left that meeting and the next meeting it was proposed to have a referendum vote, which now we have learned, is illegal. Kevin asked Karen to restate the issue of the 3 to 2 vote. Kevin asked Karen if she had the minutes of that meeting she is referring to? Karen did not she stated that the vote was to accept the Planning Commission map except that the 3 Council members changed the two acre density to a one acre density. Then the next meeting we voted on a referendum vote and I, thinking for the benefit of the two council members think go ahead and have your opinion vote. I want to bring your attention when I was first elected to office almost 4 years ago; my term is almost up. I was very adamant for an opinion poll for a water bond issue with Watt Homes. I was very much opposed to an opinion poll. I recruited people in the community to help me with that opinion poll. We asked 507 people how they felt about the city bonding with Watt Homes to bond or not to bond. Strongly in favor, 38 – somewhat in favor-65- somewhat opposed 91, strongly opposed 255. Don't know don't care 58. Karen stated that even with all of the time and effort the bond passed and the opinion poll did not make a difference. Justin asked Karen to clarify what she is saying that because the Council at that time did not listen to an opinion poll at that time, so we are not going to take another opinion poll again because we are not going to listen now. Justin asked if that is true - Karen stated that was somewhat true. Karen talked about an article in the paper and Amy Nakarado stated that people needed to understand that this is a non-binding election. Karen stated that the ultimate power to enact zoning laws rests solely with the City Council. Ms. Nakarado stated this. Karen read an article from the Tooele Transcript stating that the Council can get 99 yes opinion and 1 no. The City Council can do what they want to find opinions but there is nothing to bind them either way. There is nothing that binds the Council either way. At the present time we have a three to two vote. There is nothing that is going to change my mind. In that meeting one Council person said that I just will not stand for this I want a referendum vote. Kevin told Karen that she is incorrect and she must prove her accusations in the minutes. Kevin restated that recommendation I feel like we have listened to a various range of opinion and because the Council and the community are split on the issue. The fact of the matter is to put it to rest so that we can work as a body and so we don't split the community. It would be a good idea for me to really hear from the voice of the people. I did not demand to have a referendum vote. That is incorrect and I take offense to your statements. Karen stated that same council member stated that he was sick of it. Sick of discussing it, sick of talking about it. Kevin stated that he was sick of the arguments and the discussions. Karen stated that it ultimately it comes to a council vote and there fore the poll will not make a difference.

Even if the public says I do not want it to go one and two acre and the vote by the council is to do the one and two acres that is how it will go. And the opinion poll would be illegal if we go with it isn't that correct Attorney Elton. Attorney Elton stated no the opinion poll is not illegal. Justin stated that he just wanted to point out Mayor that I hope that we all remember who has put us here. If you don't want to listen and will not change based on what the community says is a sad state. Byron stated that we have listened to what the community told us in five public hearings over the past 2-½ years. Justin stated that he disagrees with that. We have listened to the people in all of the other areas in the community except for one. And that is the group that has asked us to not change their area. Every other group that spoke to us, we addressed it. The industrial area we looked at it and we changed it. There is a group that has spoke to us that we have never listened to. Byron asked who they were. Justin stated that it was the group that has talked about this exact thing we have talked about, that said that they would like to see that area not changed. Byron asked if these people spoke here? Justin stated yes they came and spoke to the Council. Byron stated that he wasn't sure what Justin meant. Justin stated that we have had a lot of people address us at the pulpit there and say the things that they didn't like about the general plan. I think we addressed every group and tried to accommodate every group except the group that said that they would like to see this (southeast) area remain in the zoning that it is currently in. I think you could go back and look through the minutes, every other person that has spoke we addressed their issue and made a change based on what they told us. Kevin stated to Justin that he meant the industrial zone, and some of the other changes. Justin stated yes and we made those changes based on what we were hearing. And on the group of people that stood up here and told us that they don't want to see it change we have never addressed that and never made one inch of movement for them. Byron stated that the zoning is not intended to be changed is it? Mayor stated that he needed to go back about the 2 to 3 vote. When was that? Byron stated that he thinks what we did was the 3 to 2 vote was to direct the Planner to redo the plan to go in that direction it was not to finalize the plan. Craig stated that it was never voted to adopt the map or the plan. Karen stated that she apologized for the misstatement. Karen stated that as I see it – it is a 3 to 2 vote and for the benefit of two Council Members we went along with the poll. Then realizing that it will not have a binding effect I move that we not have this poll. Mayor stated that we already have a motion on the table.

Kevin stated that he would like to comment. Being that he was the one that made the motion I would like to clarify a couple of things. I did in fact state that I was sick and tired of the argument the discussion, the bickering and the toe to toe business of what we are doing here. I admit to that. I also emphatically stated that as a body here we are terribly split on this issue. I believe that wholeheartedly. I suggest that we have an opinion poll. We need to work in harmony and in the wood shed meeting in the back it was stated that the Mayor didn't have an opinion. I disagree with that. And when you said two Council Members that that disagreed with the general plan map, there were more than just the two Council members that were against the 1-acre designation in the southeast section. The Mayor and the Planner that we hired were both against the 1-acre designation. I feel that it was better for us because we have listened to the professionals and we have listened to the people. If you look through the minutes and you add up the numbers of people that have responded in public meeting. I would dare say that there may have been 12, 15 or thirty that have spoke over and over again in those meeting. I don't disagree that they have an opinion and their opinion is warranted. I agree with Byron that we have followed due process in holding the public hearings. I would also take you back Karen, when Watt Homes came. You held an opinion poll and it is my opinion that they were the silent majority that spoke in the opinion poll. And because of the opinion poll and because of the action of this body ignoring the opinion poll we got Watt Homes in the outlying area of our community. I still believe that the reason we don't have a total response is because of that issue of the people speaking the Council not listening. It is my opinion that the reason that people don't come is for several reasons. It is also my opinion that the over whelming response on your vote hasn't changed today on those issues. That is my personal opinion and I believe that the community believes also that they want us to have a planned and orderly growth pattern. I made a recommendation to have the poll. I believe we all agreed that we would support however the poll turned out. If the poll reported that the community wanted 1 acres, I would support that. I would do everything in my power to make that happen. I believe that you

as Council Members also said that if it went the other way that you also support that. Byron stated that he wouldn't support an opinion poll. Kevin stated that we have talked about a lot of things. I agree with Justin that we have passed over the residence in that area for one thing. Who have not once spoke in favor of changing that from 5 and 10 acre lots to 1 acre. Those people in that areas are established and they have a way of life that is already established. There has been a lot of talk about agriculture. I think we have tried to steer that to try and say that we are forcing farmers to farm. And we are forcing people that have property rights to do certain things. The fact of the matter is I agree with Justin we have adjusted. People came to talk against the industrial zones and we moved that. We moved the industrial zone up on Burmester Street, in a meeting where we did not have any public comment about that we made that adjustment for one person. In my opinion we skipped some. I still stand on the fact that I am here to represent the people. I am not a republican or a democrat. I am here to do what the citizens in my community want me to do and I will continue to do that. I still stand that the opinion poll is a good thing and would have kept this body in a fluent manner. And we could proceed and do the things we need to do, I will continue to do that but without the opinion poll there will continue to be a cross there. Mayor asked about Karen's opinion poll and if it was a Council action. Karen stated no that she did that on her own, the Council did not want to do it.

Justin stated that he feels strongly about the opinion poll. I think it is unfortunate what is going to happen. I still think this community is what makes this group of people what we are. All of the people in the audience are here because they care. And it is a shame is we are unwilling to listen. You often say that it is Kevin and I 3 against 2, but I want you to remember that Bruce Parker stated that 5 & 10 acres is the right thing to do. The Mayor stated his opinion that the 5 & 10 acre designation is the right thing to do. Obviously there have been a lot of citizens telling the council that they want the 5 & 10 acre designation. So at this point it seems that you are going to do just what you want to do, based on what you want.

Craig stated that the newspaper stated that Bruce Parker has been paid \$30,000. He has not been paid that much to date. Bruce Parker was not hired to be an advisor on this project he was hired to compile the information into a workable format. Mr. Parker is a professional planner but he was not apart of the scoping meetings. His participation was to make a legal document and help us implement the document. It will require adjustments to the zoning book. Our zoning Commission has spent a lot of time compiling this effort. It has been portrayed that we are discounting what Mr. Parker has said. We are not. He has not been paid to draw up a general plan. Mayor stated that at the work meeting we did direct Mr. Parker to do what you just said he was not paid to do? We did direct him to make changes and asked his opinion on the changes. Craig stated that was true he has been directed to make half a dozen changes and he has given his opinion on the changes. Justin stated that we have asked him for his opinion. Craig agreed. Craig continued by stating that Mr. Parker has not been part of this process for the last two and one half years. Mr. Parker has only been apart of this for the last six months. Justin and Kevin stated to Craig that statement was untrue. Justin stated that he has been on the Council for just over one year and Mr. Parker has been in on the General plan ever since he got on the Council.

Mayor Cole stated that since he was criticized for having an opinion he researched the issue. This is a 6-member council. 5 are elected to vote and it is the responsibility of the Mayor to have an opinion and counsel the members about what he thinks. I have done that in the past and I will continue to do it in the future.

Justin stated to Craig that you have alluded to the fact that you are voting on what the Planning Commission has recommended. You are not. I just wanted to make that clear. Craig stated that we haven't voted on anything yet. Justin stated that you have been suggested that what you want to have adopted is not what the Planning Commission has recommended.

Byron stated that three of the Planning Commission members were present when we directed Bruce Parker to make the changes and none of them objected. This was during the joint work meeting.

Karen read a portion of the minutes of June 27, 2000. Brent Bunderson stated that the Commission can't have it both ways, 5 acres will become weed patches. Diane Hunsaker stated that this is our chance to take control. If we say one to one-half acre we control that. At five acres we don't have control. We are not planning ahead. Brent asked for a straw poll in favor of 1 per 5 acres. Only one commission member voted in favor. Kevin stated that is in regards to the 5 acres not the two or the one.

Mayor stated that there are a lot of people here to a half a dozen statements? I have mixed feelings about it. Craig stated those if they would like to speak about the General Plan I would much rather hear them on the next agenda Item than on the proposed vote. Mayor agreed. Mayor asked for any further comment on the motion to cancel the opinion poll. Vote is as follows: In favor: Byron, Craig, and Karen. Opposed: Justin and Kevin. Motion carried.

CONSIDERATION OF ORDINANCE FOR THE PROPOSED GENERAL PLAN:

Craig stated that I think this process has become greatly misunderstood and blown out of proportion. It has been seen by some as an enabling thing that is going to enable things to happen over night. It has been seen by others that it can stop things from happening all together. Craig stated that it is his opinion that it is capable of doing neither. It is not intended to or enacted to do so. It is a document to help guide the Planning Commission and the City Council in making decisions about future situation that arise in Grantsville, primarily development. I think the written document is pretty good and it serves us well. It is an improvement over what we had. I would like to consider now deleting the land use portion of that. That is the part we are unable to come to terms with. I agree with the Mayor, I believe he has some input on this. If you look at that it is 3 to 3. Let just drop it and use the old map that is in the current General Plan as part of that for now. That map addresses a growth area. Densities and design features are taken out of the issue. We would argue those things each time a proposal comes before. It would simplify things. We can just not delete the existing General Plan map and adopt the new written portion of the General Plan. Personally, I think the most important part in there is that growth is contiguous with existing infrastructure and a natural extension. I think that accomplishes the main purposes. It doesn't stop anything. Byron asked about the definitions of the ordinance of high medium and low densities. Craig stated that we would delete those. Justin asked Craig is this is a long-term solution or is this what we do for now. Craig stated that the general plan is a short-term document and reevaluate in a year. Justin if we go down this road are we going to continue to look at the other things to make it work or are we saying this is it. Craig stated that it would be up to the Planning Commission. Byron asked by adopting the old map does this give the Planning Commission the tools they need? Craig stated that it does not give them all of the tools available. They have enough tools to do what is necessary. Every land use proposal will trigger the same old discussion. Craig stated that we would have to instruct Mr. Parker to marry the new text with the existing map. Attorney Elton stated that there really wasn't any land use issues in the old text, the map was the only land use direction. Kevin stated that we have already agreed that we have supported the written portion. Kevin asked Craig that we not change anything of the existing map? Craig stated yes except for the city boundary and some soil conservation land that has been converted to private. Byron stated that we would look at any industrial development or any other development. Byron stated that the written portion is a lot simpler. Craig stated that the Planning Commission has a clearer mission statement. Byron stated that we would have to support every decision they make. Kevin asked to have Bruce Parker look at the two documents to match them together. Maybe there are some pros and cons that maybe we don't see. I would like him to tell us the pros and cons of marrying these documents and we need some time to review this new proposal. Diane Hunsaker stated that the new map doesn't give us any direction. Craig stated that the Planning Commission would have to use the written portion. Craig made a motion to direct Bruce Parker to marry these to documents together and provide a proposed finished document and some advice on that. Byron stated that to let Mr. Parker know that it appears we can not concur on the maps. Byron seconded the motion. Karen stated that she admires Councilman Anderson's approach that he is compromising to move on. I am adamant about the recommendation from the Planning. In the meeting of the 12th of January. Justin accused me because I mentioned that Otto Anderson wanted ½ acre lots, Justin stated to Karen for heaven sakes the Planning

Commission wants 2 acre lots, you want one acre lot for one person and ½ acre lots for Otto Anderson. What do you want? So I went home and I thought about? I guess I am not being very smart when it comes to wanting one acre here and ½ acre there. So my thought is what do we all agree on. We agree on a rural development. I looked at the map and said OK let's just come up with a rural community. We go with all of the green area around and lets have it 5 or 2 acres. We have a low-low density and we, we have ½ acre, and 2-acre density. We need to really plan our community, our entire community. When a developer comes to our community, they know that one-acre or two-acre lot as the minimum. We are not going to let them have 10, 000 or 12, 000 square foot lots. We send a message to the Salt Lake Community, we say we are a rural community. We tell them that we have large lot sizes and you are welcome to come build on a lot that size. That sends a message to those people that can afford to drive from Grantsville to Salt Lake City for jobs. That can afford a large lot with a large home on it. It does not invite people that have 7,000 square foot lots. My goal and my hope for Grantsville are that we can improve what we have here. I firmly believe that we can do it with 2-acre lots as the Planning Commission spent 2 ½ years planning. They are quite upset with the Council; they just recently threw out an issue with a developer and said take it to Council let them decide. How sad that we can not work together. Craig I admire you, and Dianne Hunsaker, the Planning Commission Chairman said what do we do with this map? Well there you have it, it is the map that you have had for 100 years, and you are back to square one. Nothing has been accomplished. I listened to the Governors speech last night his talk was about the Jazz Players and how important to plan ahead and how very important this is to us. We have Envision Utah, which is a community planning organization, we have a 21st century organization we are going try to be a part of. We need to think forward and transition into the future. I realize every one of us would like to have Grantsville stay the way it is, but it is not going to. If we go with the map the way it is, and say you have a senior who needs the money from the sale of his land or give one acre to his children he won't be able to do it. For heaven sakes we owe it to our selves to plan ahead to transition to think forward. This is not going to happen tomorrow plan. It is not going to happen until 2010. Do you realize that is only 9 years away? I don't believe that it is a good idea to leave the existing map. We have accomplished nothing. Our land use map is very important. It is important that we send a message that Grantsville is going to be a rural community. If the landowner does not choose to sell their land for 100 years, it will remain a rural agricultural community. If an elderly person wishes to sell a lot because they can not pay their bills, they should be able to do that. My only argument about rural community is this. If we have 2-acre lots and one acre lots people that can afford that type of lot and they will come to Grantsville. They will be pushed out of Salt Lake City they won't like that lifestyle and they will come here. We have two choices we can leave the land use map the way it is let it go the way it is. We will have twin homes and we will have 7,000 square foot lots. You see them, look around town. What do you see? You see development with small lots and things that I would rather not have here in Grantsville. I am sorry that you feel the way you do some of you. I would like to improve Grantsville I would like us to be a community that people want to come here. People with some income that can contribute to the tax base in our community. We want people here that can afford one or two acre of land and build a lovely home. I am not saying that if you are living in a small home that you are not welcome in Grantsville. My parents live in a modular home, it is very darling and they love it. But in the future it is important that we start thinking improvement in our city. I would like to mention to you to go to Grantsville High School Football field and stand in the middle and look around. Look around at the vista, you will see the mountains and trees and the sky. I have not been anywhere that is any prettier than right in the middle of our city. I would like to make proposals on the map not just leave it. Mayor called for a vote. In favor: Byron, Craig, Justin, and Kevin. Opposed-Karen. Motion carried.

CONSIDERATION OF SETTING PUBLIC HEARING:

- a. Zone change request from A-10 to RR-1:** Craig stated that the Planning Commission received a zone change request for south Hale Street for a change from A-10 to R1-21. The Planning Commission stated that they would not consider an R1-21 change but would consider a Rr-1 zone change. The requesting party agreed with the RR-1 zone change. Craig made the motion seconded by Kevin to set the public hearing for February 21, 2001. All voted in favor, motion carried.

b. Rural Road Standards. Kevin made the motion to set a work meeting for January 25, 2001 at 6:30 p.m. Seconded by Craig. All voted in favor, motion carried.

WATER SOURCE IMPACT FEE: Craig made the motion that the next step in resolving the water source impact fee is to assign Kevin, Byron and the Mayor to set up a meeting with the Water Advisory board to resolve the issues of their letter to the Council. Seconded by Justin. All voted in favor, motion carried.

DONNER REED MUSEUM: Byron asked Maureen Palmer to discuss her issue. Byron stated that Karen volunteered to be the museum curator. Mrs. Palmer stated that she was the Beta Sigma Phi donated what money they had in their savings to the Donner Reed Museum stating that they wanted signs that would direct people to the museum. Mayor stated that we talked about a sign on the highway. Byron stated that he was unaware of money donated for a sign. \$539.00 for a sign Mayor stated that we would negotiate with UDOT and try and get a sign as big as the Deseret Peak Complex sign. Mayor stated that he would commit the city to spend \$539 on signs for the Museum. Karen asked Maureen before you leave, I would love to do that. I would like to ask for Wendy, the City Recorder, to work with me because I know Wendy is regarded as a very gracious person as well. She works for the city if there are people who come during the week if they could come and find her if she could have permission from the Mayor to direct tours. She has personality the ability to go down and take the time conduct tours. Mayor stated no and heck no he would not release Wendy from her duties to conduct tours. Karen asked what could we do when I am at work? Mayor stated that we need to get someone to do that who can be available all of the time. Karen stated that she would have to turn down it down, but she would like to still be involved. Mayor stated that maybe we should get with the Daughters of the Utah Pioneer group and see if they would like to take an active roll in the Museum. Gary Christley stated that we can get information from the State Historical Foundation and they have training. I am sure we could lean on them for help to train new people. Karen stated that she would contact Louise Fillerup the president of one of the DUP camps. Craig stated that his wife Rosemary might be interested in conducting tours. Mayor stated that we need to commit ourselves to paying money to our volunteers to support this effort. Kevin suggested Sally Bolinder might be interested. Mayor stated that it is more than just the museum for a historical tour through our historical area of town. Byron mentioned the 1st ward church and the battered women's shelter administrators may be interested in leasing a portion of the 1st ward church. Byron was told to go ahead and look into that, this might help pay for this mortgage. Karen stated that maybe the Children's Justice Center might be interested as well. Gary stated that the museum was put under the auspices of the Historical Commission in Grantsville. Gary stated that he was the president of that group. Byron stated that he was charged with creating a Historical Preservation Committee and it is not done yet. Mayor stated that we need to be looking at the J. Ruben Clark place as well for historical preservation, Conoco station on the corner, the drive-in on the corner. Karen asked Gary about his mothers play and what he is going to do with it. Karen would like to make her play an annual event at the J. Ruben Clark farm. The Lincoln Highway people will be here in February. Kevin asked about the connections to celebrate Ruth Matthews's volunteer efforts. It was discussed to place a plaque at the museum in honor of Ruth's efforts.

CONSIDERATION OF BILLS: Byron made the motion to pay the bills. Justin seconded the motion. All voted in favor, motion carried.

REPORT ON LOCAL OFFICIAL DAY: Mayor stated that he was glad to see so many of the Grantsville Youth City Council in attendance.

WELCOME BAGS: Karen stated that she has found a company in Tooele AD-Vantage- that will print the bags and asked how many should we start with. It was decided to begin with one hundred bags. Karen will get the letters out to the businesses to inquire about contributions from the businesses to put into the bags. Mayor stated that he would like to get bags out to the new residents that moved in last year.

EMPLOYEE INCENTIVES: Karen stated that she would like to start with an incentive to the employees for not using a sick day. It was also suggested to give awards for no loss injury time lost. Karen will continue to work on this issue.

REPORT ON UDOT MEETING: Mayor stated that at the UDOT meeting, they talked about crosswalks and the fact that crosswalks create a hazard. We talked a little about the enforcement of City Ordinances on the State Road and they were not receptive to that because they tried to give the State Road to us. Mayor talked about the placement of signs on North Street and Hale Street to get the traffic to Burmester and out to I-80.

OTHER BUSINESS: Mayor commented about the 800 MHz radios being paid for by CCEP. We put in the budget \$60,000 expense for these radios that we can now use somewhere else. We still must pay the \$450.00 per month membership dues. The discussion of signs in our city was brought up and the Mayor assigned Ordinance Officer Robert Hansen to survey the signs in the city so we can see what we have already got out there. The comment was made that if you have too many signs then no one pay attention to them.

Byron stated that he found out that Morton Salt Company donated the crosswalk gates on Center and Park Streets. We need to thank them for their donation.

Karen discussed the Memorial Day and 4th of July celebrations. Karen asked if each of the Council would take an assignment for the 4th to help lift the burden from a chairman. Karen stated that the Memorial Day celebration will honor two more soldiers from WW II.

Justin stated that the City Crew removed some trees on Hale Street across from the Middle School and now people are parking in the mud. Justin wondered if some base could be brought in to make that area better or parking. Kevin stated that he would look into it tomorrow.

ADJOURNMENT: Craig made the motion at midnight to adjourn this Council Meeting. Karen seconded the motion. All voted in favor, motion carried.

Wendy Palmer, City Recorder

Merle E. Cole, Mayor